

BASHH Summary Guidance on Testing for Sexually Transmitted Infections, 2023

Harry Coleman, Itty Samuel, Suneeta Soni, Martin Murchie, Michael Clarke, Aaron Williams, Hamish Mohammed, Nicholas Medland, Achyuta V Nori

Executive summary

This summary testing guidance has been produced by the British Association of Sexual Health and HIV Clinical Effectiveness Group to provide a benchmark for provision of testing of STIs in the United Kingdom.

Within this guideline we would like to highlight that throughout, when reference is made to trans people, our intention is that this is a fully inclusive term to include all people whose gender identity differs from that expected to follow from their birth assigned sex, and therefore includes all trans people, including those with both binary and non-binary identities. Terminology is both sensitive and constantly evolving and we therefore advise local service user engagement to ensure that the terminology used in individual services is acceptable to the people who use them (Beere, 2019). Where appropriate anatomical descriptions have been used.

The landscape of sexually transmitted testing providers has been changing and novel testing platforms, particularly multiplex pathogen panels, are being used by some providers that detect organisms that are inappropriate for routine testing (Clarke, 2022) either due to inappropriate sample type (urine/vulvovaginal swab/throat swab/rectal swab for *Treponema pallidum* PCR, Herpes simplex virus PCR, *Haemophilus ducreyi* PCR) or due to doubtful clinical significance of the organism in asymptomatic people (*Mycoplasma hominis, Mycoplasma genitalium, Ureaplasma spp.*) (Horner, 2019)

The recommended best practice in testing is summarised in tabular form with links to the referenced guidelines.



Blood borne virus screening

All people attending sexual health services in line with BASHH/BHIVA/PHE/NICE guidelines should be offered blood borne virus screening irrespective of their presentation.

Below is a summary table of current BASHH/BHIVA guidelines:

Pathogen/	Whom to test	Sample type	Assay	Evidence
Diagnosis				
Hepatitis A (only if not known to be immune or vaccinated)	MSM Trans people who have sex with men PWID Known Hep B or Hep C seropositive status PLWH	Blood in plain tube (Serum)	Hepatitis A total antibody assay	(Brook, 2017)
Hepatitis B	MSM ¹ Trans people ¹ Those who change sexual partners frequently ¹ Sex workers ¹ PWID ¹ PLWH ¹ Presenting after sexual assault ¹ Contact of Hepatitis B ¹ From endemic country and not tested since leaving	Blood in plain tube (Serum) Blood in plain tube (Serum)	Hepatitis B surface antibody quantitative assay Hepatitis B core antibody and/or Hepatitis B surface antigen assay Hepatitis B core antibody and/or Hepatitis B surface	(Brook, 2017)
Hepatitis C	endemic area (not vaccinated) MSM at high risk of BBV ² Trans people ² PWID PLWH	Blood in plain tube (Serum)	antigen assay Hepatitis C IgG or combined Antigen/Antibody assay If previously infected, Hepatitis C RNA assay or if available Hepatitis C antigen	(Brook, 2017)
HIV	All	Blood in plain tube (Serum) Blood in EDTA (plasma)	assay Combination third- generation assays to detect IgM and IgG antibodies, and monoclonal antibodies to detect p24 antigen Viral RNA or proviral DNA NOT recommended for routine diagnosis	(Palfreeman, 2020)
	Diagnostic uncertainty ³	Blood in EDTA (plasma)	Viral RNA or proviral DNA	

- 1. Without prior history of Hepatitis B vaccination
- 2. Eligible for 3 monthly HIV testing or on PrEP
- 3. Diagnostic uncertainty e.g. primary HIV or indeterminate serology on PrEP



Asymptomatic testing for sexually transmitted infections

All people attending sexual health services should be offered bloods born virus screening as above.

In general, first void urine is the specimen of choice for NAAT testing for chlamydia and gonorrhoea in anyone with a penile urethra. Throat and rectal swabs should be guided by sexual history taking. Vaginal swabs are recommended for anyone who has a vagina.

Asymptomatic people should be considered for the following investigations:

Pathogen	Whom to test	When to test	Sample type	Assay	Evidence	
Chlamydia trachomatis/	Men/penis		FCU	NAAT ^{1,4}	(Nwokolo,	
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	MSM	3 site testing required for all sexually active MSM	FCU	NAAT ¹	2016)	
			Throat swab	NAAT 1,2,3,4	(Dragovic,	
			Rectal swab	NAAT ^{1,2}	2018)	
			Pooled samples	NAAT ^{1,2,3}	(Fifer, 2020)	
	Women/		VVS	NAAT ¹		
	vagina	Not recommended for routine screening	Throat swab	NAAT ^{1,3,4,5}		
		Not recommended for routine screening	Rectal swab	NAAT ^{1,5}		
		Not recommended for routine screening	Pooled samples	NAAT ^{1,3}		
Gardnerella vaginalis	All	Testing is NOT recommended			(Sherrard, 2018)	
Haemophilius ducreyi	All	Testing is NOT recommended			(Lautenschl ager, 2017)	
Herpes simplex	All	Testing is NOT recommended	(Patel <i>,</i> 2015)			
Мрох	All	Testing is NOT recommended		(UKHSA, 2022)		
Mycoplasma genitalium	Men/penis	Screening is not	FCU	NAAT ⁶	(Soni, 2019)	
	Women/	recommended	VVS	NAAT ⁶		
	vagina	Test contact of confirmed				
	Evtra-	infection only genital NAAT testing for Mycoplas	ma aenitalium is N(T validated		
Mycoplasma hominis	All	Testing is NOT recommended			(Horner,	
					2019)	
Trichomonas vaginalis	Men/penis	Routine screening is not	FCU	NAAT	(Sherrard,	
		recommended.			2022)	
		Testing can be considered in				
		people with factors associated with high	Meatal & Urethral swabs	NAAT (need local validation)		
	Women/ vagina	prevalence of TV Test contacts of infection	VVS	NAAT		
	Extra-genital NAAT testing for Trichomonas vaginalis is NOT recommended			ended		
Treponema pallidum	All	(No history of syphilis)	Blood in plain	Treponema	(Kingston,	
			tube (Serum)	pallidum antibody assay	2015) (Kingston,	
	All	(Previously treated syphilis)	Blood in plain tube (Serum)	RPR or VDRL	2019)	
	Treponema pal	Treponema pallidum NAAT should not be performed in asymptomatic people				
Ureaplasma sp.	All	Testing is NOT recommended			(Horner,	
					2019)	



- Any positive NG/sexual contact of NG should have culture performed on the same site/specimen before treatment is given. Specimen plated on incubated CO2 enriched Neisseria gonorrhoeae selective culture medium, liquid Amies transport medium (refrigerated) or charcoal Amies swab. Treatment should not be delayed while culture is performed and should be given immediately after culture is taken.
- 2. Positive rectal or pharyngeal CT NAAT (or pooled sample) in MSM should be typed for LGV.
- 3. Positive pharyngeal NG NAAT (or pooled samples) should be confirmed on a separate gene target.
- 4. Anyone with genital gonorrhoea (regardless of gender or reported sexual behaviour) should have pharyngeal sampling if either of the following apply
 - a. Susceptibility results are not available and the infection may have been acquired in the Asia-Pacific region. This is because of high levels of antimicrobial resistance in region.
 - b. Genital infection with a confirmed ceftriaxone-resistant strain.
- 5. Consider NG rectal/pharyngeal sampling in women who are sexual contacts of gonorrhoea.
- 6. Consider macrolide resistance testing.



Recommended testing frequencies for asymptomatic STI testing

Risk group	Recommended testing frequency	Evidence
Anyone with 1 long-term mutually	Test at start of relationship	(UKHSA, 2022)
exclusive partner		
Sexually active people	Annually and after partner change	(UKHSA, 2022)
	(maximum frequency 3 –monthly)	
Sexually active people at high risk of	3-monthly testing	(UKHSA, 2022)
STIs:		
PrEP users		(Brady, 2018)
 >10 partners in last 12 months 		
Multiple or anonymous partners		
since last STI test		
 Sexualised drug use including 		
chemsex		
• For 1 year after diagnosis of a		
bacterial STI		



Testing for STIs for people presenting with symptoms

Urethritis/Epididymo-orchitis (EO)

Pathogen/ Diagnosis	Whom to test	When to test	Sample type	Assay	Evidence
Chlamydia trachomatis	Men/penis	All	FCU	NAAT	(Nwokolo , 2016) (Dragovic, 2018)
Gardnerella vaginalis	All	Testing is NOT recommer	nded		(Sherrard, 2018)
Herpes simplex	Men/penis	In persistent urethritis - consider	Urethral swab	HSV DNA PCR assay	(Patel <i>,</i> 2015)
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	Men/penis	All	FCU	NAAT	(Fifer, 2020)
<i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae</i> detected	Men/penis Urine NAAT positive Pooled sample NAAT	All detected NG	Urethral swab Urethral swab Rectal swab Throat Swab	Culture ¹	
Mycoplasma genitalium	positive Men/penis	Urethritis and diagnosis of NGU	FCU	NAAT ²	(Soni, 2019)
Ĩ	Men/penis with EO	Consider	FCU	NAAT ²	,
Mycoplasma hominis	All	Testing is NOT recommer	nded		(Horner, 2019)
NGU/ Presumptive NG	Men/penis	History/ examination suggestive of urethritis/EO	Urethral smear	Gram stain - Microscopy	(Horner, 2015) (BASHH, 2018)
Trichomonas vaginalis	Men/penis	In persistent urethritis - consider	FCU	NAAT	(Sherrard, 2022)
<i>Ureaplasma</i> urealyticum	All	Testing is NOT recommended ³			(Horner, 2019)
UTI	Men/penis	History suggestive of UTI/EO Urinalysis positive for Nitrites and Leucocytes or diagnosis of EO	Urinalysis Urine culture and sensitivities	Mid-stream POC urine dipstick Mid-stream urine culture	(Horner, 2015) (BASHH, 2018)

Notes:

1. Any positive NG (NAATs positive/presumptive NG diagnosed via microscopy) should have culture performed on the same site/specimen before treatment is given. Specimen plated on incubated CO2 enriched Neisseria gonorrhoeae selective culture medium, liquid Amies transport medium (refrigerated) or charcoal Amies swab. Treatment should not be delayed while culture is performed and should be given immediately after culture is taken.

2. Consider macrolide resistance testing

3. Ureaplasma urealyticum: testing is NOT recommended except under specialist care after other STIs have been excluded. Assay needs to differentiate between U. parvum (commensal) and U. urealyticum



Vaginal discharge

Pathogen/	Whom to test	When to	Sample type	Assay	Evidence	
Diagnosis		test				
Bacterial	Women/vagina	All	Swab ¹	Gram stain – microscopy	(Hay,	
vaginosis					2012) (Sherrard,	
Gardnerella	All	Testing is NOT	Testing is NOT recommended			
vaginalis	-			2018)		
Candida	Women/vagina	All	Swab ¹	Gram stain - microscopy	(Saxon,	
		Acute VVC	HVS	Fungal culture – NOT	2020)	
				recommended		
				Candida sp. DNA detection – Not		
				recommended		
		Recurrent	HVS	Solid fungal growth		
		VVC		medium (Sabouraud		
		-		plate)		
Chlamydia	Women/vagina	All	VVS	NAAT	(Nwokolo	
trachomatis					, 2016)	
					(Dragovic,	
					2018)	
Mycoplasma	Women/cervix	with PCB/	VVS	NAAT ²	(Soni,	
genitalium		cervicitis			2019)	
Mycoplasma	All	Testing is NOT	recommended		(Horner,	
hominis		A 11	\ /\ /C	ΝΑΑΤ	2019)	
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	Women/vagina	All	VVS	NAAT	(Fifer, 2020)	
Neisseria	Women/vagina	All NG	Endocervical swab	Culture ³	2020)	
gonorrhoeae	Pooled sample	detected	Endocervical swab	Culture		
detected	NAAT positive	deteoted	Throat swab			
			Rectal swab			
Trichomonas	Women/vagina	All	Swab ⁴	Wet mount microscopy	(Sherrard,	
vaginalis	, , ,		VVS	NAAT	2022)	
			VVS	POCT antigen assay		
		VVS		Culture		
Mycoplasma	All	Testing is NOT recommended			(Horner,	
hominis					2019)	
Ureaplasma	All	Testing is NOT recommended			(Horner,	
sp.						

- 1. Taken from vaginal lateral wall at the time of speculum examination
- 2. Consider macrolide resistance testing
- 3. Any positive NG (NAATs positive/presumptive NG diagnosed via microscopy) should have culture performed on the same site/specimen before treatment is given. Specimen plated on incubated CO2 enriched Neisseria gonorrhoeae selective culture medium, liquid Amies transport medium (refrigerated) or charcoal Amies swab. Treatment should not be delayed while culture is performed and should be given immediately after culture is taken
- 4. Taken from the posterior fornix at the time of speculum examination



Lower abdominal pain

In addition to people presenting with vaginal discharge those presenting with lower abdominal pain should be considered for the following:

Pathogen/ Diagnosis	Whom to test	When to test	Sample type	Assay	Evidence
Cervicitis	Women with LAP	All LAP	Endocervical swab	Gram stain - microscopy	(Ross, 2019)
Chlamydia trachomatis	Women/vagina	All	VVS	NAAT	(Nwokolo, 2016) (Dragovic, 2018)
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	Women/vagina	All	VVS	NAAT	(Fifer, 2020)
Mycoplasma genitalium	People with PID	PID/cervicitis with PBC	VVS	NAAT	(Soni, 2019)
Pregnancy	Women with LAP	All LAP	Urine	Human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) urine test	(Ross, 2019)
UTI	Women with LAP	All LAP	Urinalysis	Mid-stream POC urine dipstick	(Ross, 2019)
		Urinalysis positive for Nitrites and Leucocytes	Urine culture and sensitivities	Mid-stream urine culture	



Anogenital ulcers

Pathogen/	Whom to test	Sample type	Assay	Evidence
Diagnosis				
Donovanosis	granulomas in people with sexual		Giemsa stain microscopy	(O'Farrell, 2018)
	contacts in high risk areas	Biopsy	Histopathological examination with Giemsa or Silver stain No commercial DNA detection assays are available	
Haemophilius ducreyi /Chancroid	Painful anogenital ulcer in people with sexual contacts in high risk areas	Ulcer swab	NAAT	(Lautensc hlager, 2017)
Herpes	Anogenital ulcer	Ulcer swab	HSV DNA PCR assay	(Patel,
Simplex Virus		Ulcer swab	Culture	2015)
		Serology	Testing is NOT recommended	
		FCU	Testing is NOT recommended	
LGV	MSM with	Ulcer swab	NAAT (Reflex testing after a positive	(White,
	anogenital ulcer		CT NAAT)	2013)
Мрох	Possible or probable	Lesion swab	NAAT (local test or send to	(UK
	cases	Throat swab For contacts of Mpox with systemic symptoms AND no rash	Reference laboratory)	Stratergy for Mpox Control, 2022) (UKHSA,
	Monitoring confirmed cases under advice from Specialist Infectious diseases NOT for	Blood in EDTA tube Urine	NAAT (local test or send to Reference laboratory)	2022) (UKHSA, 2022)
	diagnosis			
	Research only	Semen	No validated tests available	
Treponema	Anogenital ulcer	Ulcer/ exudate	Dark field microscopy	(Kingston,
pallidum		Ulcer swab	Treponema pallidum PCR	2015)
		FCU	Treponema pallidum PCR NOT	(Kingston,
		VVS	recommended	2019)
	All (No history of syphilis)	Blood in plain tube (Serum)	Treponema pallidum antibody assay	
	All (Previously treated syphilis)	Blood in plain tube (Serum)	RPR or VDRL	



Proctitis/Proctocolitis

Pathogen/Diagnosis	Whom to test	When to test	Sample type	Assay	Evidence
Proctitis syndrome	MSM	All proctitis	Rectal swab	Gram stain – microscopy	(Clutterbuck, 2018)
Chlamydia trachomatis	MSM	All	Rectal swab	NAAT ¹	(Nwokolo, 2016) (Dragovic, 2018)
Enteric organism (<i>Shigella</i> spp., E.coli,	MSM	All	Stool sample	Stool Culture	(Clutterbuck, 2018)
Campylobacter spp., Salmonella spp.)				Faecal enteric pathogen DNA detection panel	
Herpes Simplex Virus	MSM	All	Rectal swab	HSV DNA assay	(Clutterbuck, 2018) (Patel, 2015)
Мрох	Possible or probable cases	Consider	Rectal swab	NAAT (local test or send to Reference laboratory)	(UKHSA, 2022)
Mycoplasma genitalium	Consider in MSM	Consider	Rectal swab	NAAT ²	(Soni, 2019)
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	MSM	All	Rectal swab	NAAT	(Fifer, 2020)
			Rectal swab	-	
			Throat swab	_	
			Urethral swab		
Protozoa (Giardia lamblia, Entamoeba histolytica, Cryptosporidium spp.)	MSM	All	Stool sample	Examination for ova, cysts and parasites	(Clutterbuck, 2018)
Treponema pallidum	MSM	All	Rectal swab	Treponema pallidum DNA assay Dark Ground Microscopy	(Clutterbuck, 2018) (Kingston, 2015)
		No history of syphilis Previously treated syphilis	Blood in plain tube (Serum) Blood in plain tube (Serum)	Treponema pallidum antibody assay RPR or VDRL	(Kingston, 2019)

- 1. Positive rectal or pharyngeal CT NAAT (or pooled sample) in MSM should be typed for LGV
- 2. Consider macrolide resistance testing



Urogenital Mycoplasmas other than *Mycoplasma genitalium* (Horner, 2019)

The bacteria referred to in this section include:

- Mycoplasma hominis
- Ureaplasma parvum (formerly Ureaplasma urealyticum biovar 1)
- Ureaplasma urealyticum (formerly Ureaplasma urealyticum biovar 2)

Testing practices for these organisms are variable outside of sexual health services. Although these organisms are frequently found in the urogenital tract the evidence of association with disease is poor. There is no evidence of benefit in eradication of these organisms with antimicrobial therapy and treatments may be contributing to selection of antimicrobial resistance, both within these organisms, as well as within microbiota.

Hence, routine testing and treatment of asymptomatic or symptomatic men and women for *M. hominis*, *U. parvum*, and *U. urealyticum* is not recommended.

U. urealyticum has been associated with urethritis when present at high loads and in men with persistent urethritis where other STI pathogens have been excluded, testing using a specific *U. urealyticum* quantitative DNA detection assay may be considered in a specialist clinical setting.



Abbreviations

Chlamydia trachomatis	СТ
Epididymo-orchitis	EO
First catch urine	FCU
Herpes simplex virus	HSV
High vaginal swab	HVS
Lower abdominal pain	LAP
Men who have sex with men	MSM
Mycoplasma genitalium	MG
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	NG
Non-gonococcal urethritis	NGU
Nucleic acid amplification test	NAAT
People living with HIV	PLWH
Pre-exposure prophylaxis	PrEP
People who inject drugs	PWID
Point of care test	POC
Polymerase chain reaction	PCR
Post coital bleeding	РСВ
Rapid plasma regain	RPR
Sexually transmitted infection	STI
Trichomonas vaginalis	TV
Urinary tract infection	UTI
Venereal disease research laboratory	VDRL
Vulvovaginal swab	VVS



Bibliography

BASHH, 2018. Update to the 2015 BASHH UK National Guideline on the management of nongonococcal urethritis. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1199/ngu-bashh-update-2018.pdf</u>

Beere, D., 2019. BASHH recommendations for integrated sexual health services for integrated sexual health services for Trans, including non-binary, people. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.bashh.org/about-bashh/publications/</u>

Brady, M. e. a., 2018. BHIVA/BASHH guidelines on the use of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). [Online]

Available at: <u>https://www.bhiva.org/file/5b729cd592060/2018-PrEP-Guidelines.pdf</u> [Accessed 15 November 2022].

Brook, G., 2017. 2017 interim update of the 2015 BASHH National Guidelines for the Management of the Viral Hepatitides. [Online]

Available at: <u>https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1161/viral-hepatitides-2017-update-18-12-17.pdf</u>

Clarke, E., 2022. Assessment of online self-testing and self-sampling service providers for sexually transmitted infections against national standards in the UK in 2020. *Journal of Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 0(0), pp. 1-7.

Clutterbuck, D., 2018. United Kingdom national guideline on the sexual health care of men who have sex with men. *International Journal of STD & AID*, 0(0), pp. 1-46.

Dragovic, B. &. N. N., 2018. Update on the treatment of Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infection. [Online]

Available at: <u>https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1191/update-on-the-treatment-of-chlamydia-trachomatis-infection-final-16-9-18.pdf</u>

[Accessed 10 November 2022].

Fifer, H., 2020. 2018 UK national guideline for the management of infection with Neisseria gonorrhoeae. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 31(1), pp. 4-15.

Hay, P., 2012. UK National Guideline for the management of Bacterial Vaginosis. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1041/bv-2012.pdf</u>

Horner, P., 2015. UK National Guideline on the management of non-gonococcal urethritis. *International Journal of STD & AIDS,* 0(0), pp. 1-12.

Horner, P., 2019. Should we be testing for urogenital Mycoplasma hominis, Ureaplasma parvum and U. urealyticum in men and women? – a Position Statement from the European STI Guidelines Editorial Board. [Online]

Available at: <u>https://iusti.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/UrogenitalMycoplasmas.pdf</u>

Kingston, M., 2015. UK national guidelines on the management of syphilis. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 0(0), pp. 1-26.

Kingston, M. e. a., 2019. Amendment to the UK Guideline on the management of syphilis; women, management of syphilis in pregnant. [Online] Available at: https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1220/syphilis-in-pregnancy-amendment-



<u>2019.pdf</u>

[Accessed 10 November 2022].

Lautenschlager, S., 2017. European guideline for the management of chancroid. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 0(0), pp. 1-6.

Nwokolo, N., 2016. 2015 UK national guideline for the management of infection with Chlamydia trachomatis. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 31(1), pp. 4-15.

O'Farrell, N., 2018. UK National Guideline for the management of Donovanosis. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1168/donovanosis-2018.pdf</u>

Palfreeman, A., 2020. British HIV Association/British Association for Sexual Health and HIV/British Infection Association Adult HIV Testing Guidelines 2020. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.bhiva.org/file/5f68c0dd7aefb/HIV-testing-guidelines-2020.pdf</u>

Patel, R., 2015. UK national guideline for the management of anogenital herpes. *International Journal of STD & AIDS, 0*(0), pp. 1-14.

Ross, J., 2019. United Kingdom National Guideline for the Management of Pelvic Inflammatory Disease. [Online]

Available at: https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1217/pid-update-2019.pdf

Saxon, C., 2020. British Association for Sexual Health and HIV national guideline for the management of vulvovaginal candidiasis. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 31(12), p. 1124–1144.

Sherrard, J., 2018. 2018 European (IUSTI/WHO) International Union against sexually transmitted infections (IUSTI) World Health Organisation (WHO) guideline on the management of vaginal discharge. [Online]

Available at: <u>https://iusti.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Vagdx2018.pdf</u> [Accessed 10 November 2022].

Sherrard, J., 2022. British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH) United Kingdom national guideline on the management of Trichomonas vaginalis 2021. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.bashhguidelines.org/media/1310/tv-2021.pdf</u> [Accessed 10 November 2022].

Soni, S., 2019. British Association for Sexual Health and HIV national guideline for the management of infection with Mycoplasma genitalium. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 30(10), pp. 938-950.

UK Stratergy for Mpox Control, 2. t. 2., 2022. *Gov.UK.* [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mpox-monkeypox-control-uk-strategy-2022-to-2023/uk-strategy-for-mpox-control-2022-to-2023</u> [Accessed January 2023].

UKHSA, 2022. *Mpox Case Definitions*. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/monkeypox-case-definitions</u> [Accessed January 2023].

UKHSA, 2022. *Mpox diagnostic testing.* [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/monkeypox-diagnostic-testing</u> [Accessed January 2023].



UKHSA, 2022. UKHSA - Sexually transmitted infections and screening for chlamydia in England: 2021 report. [Online]

Available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/sexually-transmitted-infections-stis-annual-data-tables/sexually-transmitted-infections-and-screening-for-chlamydia-in-england-2021-report#:~:text=Main%20STI%20prevention%20messages,-Providers%20and%20commissioners
[Accessed 15 November 2022].</u>

White, J., 2013. UK National Guideline for the management of lymphogranuloma venereum: Clinical Effectiveness Group of the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (CEG/BASHH) Guideline development group. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 24(8), p. 593–601.